ACADEMY

## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunity Youth Academy | 43104390135087 | 6/02/2020 | 6/18/2020 |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

## Schoolwide Program

Comprehensive Support and Improvement
Opportunity Youth Academy will develop a School Plan for Student Achievement using data and root cause analysis, including the identification of evident-based interventions and resources, to develop a Schoolwide Program and a Comprehensive Support and Improvement plan that supports programs to help increase the performance levels for English Learners in Math and Reading; In partnerships with community organizations and family, achievement levels will increase by engaging students in a rigorous curriculum in an environment that is culturally responsive and safe; and all students will leave prepared for a successful transition to college and/or career as a result of premier programs, services and curriculum.

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The purpose of this plan is to provide transparency to all stakeholders including parents, staff members, and community members on budget, allocation, expenditures beyond base funding of
local control funding formula and Title I as they relate to improving academic performance for all students.
Opportunity Youth Academy's three goals mirror those in the Local Contral Action Plan (LCAP) and aligned with our Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) Action Plan. High quality engaging instruction with equitable access to academic standards, culturally proficient and responsive staff, parents, and community partners are key to proving a program for out students that will prepare them for post-secondary success. In an effort to meet these goals, the following are essential components to overall student success: technology, intervention, collaboration, professional development.

Goal 1: All students will participate in rigourous, relevent and engaging instruction aligned with 21 st century skills to elminate barriers and promote achievement
Goal 2: All students will leave prepared for a successful transition to college and career as a result of quality programs, services and curriculum
Goal 3: In partnership with community organizations and family members, achievement levels will increase by engaging students in a rigorous curriculum in an environment that is culturally responsive and safe.
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## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update
Opportunity Youth Academy consulted with parents, staff members, and community members on the School Site Council and ELAC, and administrative team.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
The Opportunity Youth Academy administration examined data from the California School Dashboard; local assessments/benchmarks, conversations with the School Site Council (SSC), and other stakeholders. No resource inequities were identified.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| American Indian | 0.45\% | 1.03\% | 0.82\% | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| African American | 2.25\% | 5.15\% | 4.9\% | 5 | 15 | 18 |
| Asian | 1.35\% | 1.37\% | 1.09\% | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Filipino | 0.45\% | \% | 0.54\% | 1 |  | 2 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 89.64\% | 86.6\% | 88.28\% | 199 | 252 | 324 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.45\% | 0.69\% | 0.27\% | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| White | 4.95\% | 4.47\% | 3.27\% | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| Multiple/No Response | \% | \% | 0.82\% |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 222 | 291 | 367 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ (18 | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ |  |
| Grade 9 |  | 2 | 31 |  |
| Grade 10 | 1 | 4 | 57 |  |
| Grade 11 | 18 | 46 | 104 |  |
| Grade 12 | 203 | 239 | 175 |  |
| Total Enrollment | 222 | 291 | 367 |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment has increased
2. Latinx student population has remained the largest group

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ |
| English Learners | 63 | 84 | 114 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 55 | 73 | 97 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%}$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The data indicate an increase in the number of English Learner students from 2017 to 2019 , but zero number of reclassified language learners in the last three years. We recognized the increasing number of English Learner students and their language needs is a critical area of need for future planning.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 39 | 82 | 118 | 22 | 38 | 54 | 21 | 38 | 53 | 56.4 | 46.3 | 45.8 |
| All Grades | 39 | 82 | 118 | 22 | 38 | 54 | 21 | 38 | 53 | 56.4 | 46.3 | 45.8 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard NotMet |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 2507. | 2469. | 2468. | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 14.29 | 10.53 | 11.32 | 33.33 | 18.42 | 20.75 | 47.62 | 71.05 | 66.04 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 14.29 | 10.53 | 11.32 | 33.33 | 18.42 | 20.75 | 47.62 | 71.05 | 66.04 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 9.52 | 7.89 | 5.66 | 42.86 | 36.84 | 37.74 | 47.62 | 55.26 | 56.60 |
| All Grades | 9.52 | 7.89 | 5.66 | 42.86 | 36.84 | 37.74 | 47.62 | 55.26 | 56.60 |


| Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 11 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 38.10 | 26.32 | 32.08 | 57.14 | 73.68 | 66.04 |
| All Grades | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 38.10 | 26.32 | 32.08 | 57.14 | 73.68 | 66.04 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
|  | 9.52 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 61.90 | 63.16 | 58.49 | 28.57 | 36.84 | 39.62 |
| All Grades | 9.52 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 61.90 | 63.16 | 58.49 | 28.57 | 36.84 | 39.62 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 14.29 | 5.26 | 1.89 | 47.62 | 28.95 | 39.62 | 38.10 | 65.79 | 58.49 |
| All Grades | 14.29 | 5.26 | 1.89 | 47.62 | 28.95 | 39.62 | 38.10 | 65.79 | 58.49 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of students tested has increased.
2. The number of students not meeting standard decreased.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 39 | 82 | 118 | 19 | 32 | 45 | 19 | 32 | 45 | 48.7 | 39 | 38.1 |
| All Grades | 39 | 82 | 118 | 19 | 32 | 45 | 19 | 32 | 45 | 48.7 | 39 | 38.1 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly $\begin{gathered}\text { Met }\end{gathered}$ |  |  | \% Standard NotMet |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 2443. | 2415. | 2419. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 6.25 | 4.44 | 89.47 | 93.75 | 95.56 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.53 | 6.25 | 4.44 | 89.47 | 93.75 | 95.56 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 4.44 | 94.74 | 100.0 | 95.56 |
| All Grades | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 4.44 | 94.74 | 100.0 | 95.56 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level |  | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.11 | 18.75 | 28.89 | 57.89 | 81.25 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 1 1}$ |  |
| All Grades | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42.11 | 18.75 | 28.89 | 57.89 | 81.25 | 71.11 |  |

Communicating Reasoning
Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.84 | 28.13 | 20.00 | 63.16 | 71.88 | 80.00 |
| All Grades | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.84 | 28.13 | 20.00 | 63.16 | 71.88 | 80.00 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The number of students tested increased.
2. The number of students not meeting standards slightly increased.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  | Oral Language |  | Written Language |  | Number of Students Tested |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 9 |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| Grade 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 6 |
| Grade 11 | * | 1494.4 | * | 1427.5 | * | 1560.6 | * | 13 |
| Grade 12 | 1448.2 | 1525.8 | 1418.8 | 1512.2 | 1477.0 | 1539.0 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 86 |


| Overall Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 0.00 |  | 7.69 | * | 53.85 | * | 38.46 | * | 13 |
| 12 | * | 16.92 | 40.68 | 27.69 | * | 30.77 | 38.98 | 24.62 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | * | 12.79 | 33.80 | 25.58 | * | 33.72 | 42.25 | 27.91 | 71 | 86 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 7.69 | * | 0.00 |  | 46.15 | * | 46.15 | * | 13 |
| 12 | 22.03 | 29.23 | 27.12 | 33.85 | * | 13.85 | 42.37 | 23.08 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | 22.54 | 24.42 | 25.35 | 27.91 | * | 19.77 | 45.07 | 27.91 | 71 | 86 |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 10 |  | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 11 | * | 7.69 | * | 0.00 |  | 76.92 | * | 15.38 | * | 13 |
| 12 | * | 6.15 | * | 23.08 | 35.59 | 35.38 | 42.37 | 35.38 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | * | 5.81 | 18.31 | 18.60 | 30.99 | 43.02 | 45.07 | 32.56 | 71 | 86 |


| Listening DomainPercentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 0.00 | * | 23.08 | * | 76.92 | * | 13 |
| 12 | * | 4.62 | 38.98 | 55.38 | 47.46 | 40.00 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | 15.49 | 3.49 | 36.62 | 51.16 | 47.89 | 45.35 | 71 | 86 |


| Speaking Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 38.46 |  | 23.08 | * | 38.46 | * | 13 |
| 12 | 47.46 | 64.62 | 22.03 | 18.46 | 30.51 | 16.92 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | 46.48 | 58.14 | 18.31 | 19.77 | 35.21 | 22.09 | 71 | 86 |


| Reading Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 7.69 | * | 46.15 | * | 46.15 | * | 13 |
| 12 | * | 9.23 | 33.90 | 43.08 | 64.41 | 47.69 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | * | 8.14 | 32.39 | 46.51 | 64.79 | 45.35 | 71 | 86 |


| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| 11 | * | 7.69 | * | 84.62 | * | 7.69 | * | 13 |
| 12 | 18.64 | 3.08 | 59.32 | 80.00 | 22.03 | 16.92 | 59 | 65 |
| All Grades | 19.72 | 3.49 | 54.93 | 77.91 | 25.35 | 18.60 | 71 | 86 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. More students tested in 18-19 school year.
2. Overall 12 students received Level 4 in 18-19.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2018-19 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| 291 | 85.9 | 28.9 | 7.6 |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. |

2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group

| 2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 84 | 28.9 |
| Foster Youth | 22 | 7.6 |
| Homeless | 8 | 2.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 250 | 85.9 |
| Students with Disabilities | 58 | 19.9 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 15 | 5.2 |
| American Indian | 3 | 1.0 |
| Asian | 4 | 1.4 |
| Hispanic | 252 | 86.6 |
| Two or More Races | 2 | 0.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.7 |
| White | 13 | 4.5 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The majority of our students are Latinx
2. A majority of the population (85.9) is socioeconomically disadvantaged.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance |
| :---: |
| English Language Arts |
| No Performance Color |



| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Green |

## Mathematics



No Performance Color

| College/Career |
| :---: |
| Red |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Low numbers of students in each category led to no performance index ratings.
2. Graduation Rate was rated in the Red in the 18-19 school year.
3. College/ Career Readiness was rated in the Red in the 18-19 school year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group



Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
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## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 10 |
|  |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 1 |
|  |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 6 |
|  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. No significant testing group data present.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 228.9 points below standard |
| 17 |
|  |


$\square$

Homeless


Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not
Displayed for Privacy
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| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| No Performance Color 226.8 points below standard 16 |  |  |  |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not <br> Displayed for Privacy <br> 8 <br>  |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not |
| Displayed for Privacy |
| 1 |
|  |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not <br> Displayed for Privacy <br> 5 <br> ${ }^{2}$ |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. No significant testing group data present.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 47.7 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 44 |
| Performance Level: Low |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level | Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 12 | Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 | Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| 1 | 20 |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. No significant testing group data present.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Academic Performance <br> College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue
Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{G^{7}}{R}$ | $\frac{R_{\text {Red }}}{}$ | No Performance Color |
| 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintained +0.5 <br> 207 | Maintained 0 <br> 59 | Maintained 0 <br> 20 |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Color | $\frac{G_{R e d}}{\text { Red }}$ | No Performance Color |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Maintained 0 <br> 178 | Maintained 0 <br> 24 |



This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2017 |
| :---: |
| Prepared |
| Approaching Prepared |
| Not Prepared |


| Class of 2018 |
| :---: |
| 0 Prepared |
| 1.8 Approaching Prepared |
| 98.2 Not Prepared |


| Class of 2019 |
| :---: |
| 0.5 Prepared |
| 1 Approaching Prepared |
| 98.6 Not Prepared |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. College/Career Readiness for all students maintained $0.5 \%$

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian <br> Hispanic | \begin{tabular}{c\|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \begin{tabular}{c\|c|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | Filipino |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. No significant testing group data present.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{G^{8}}{\text { Red }}$ | $\frac{G}{R e d}$ | No Performance Color |
| 33.8 | 25.4 | 15 |
| Increased +8.1 <br> 207 | Maintained -0.3 <br> 59 | Declined -51.7 <br> 20 |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| No Performance Color | $\frac{G_{\operatorname{Red}}}{}$ | No Performance Color |
| 28.6 | 32.6 | 29.2 |
| 14 | Increased +7 <br> 178 | Declined -26.4 <br> 24 |

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

| 2018 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25.7 | 33.8 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Graduation Rate increased by $8.1 \%$

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group


| Foster Youth |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 0 |
| Maintained 0 |
| 66 |



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 0 |
| Maintained 0 |
| 155 |

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity


No Performance Color
0


No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 2


This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2017 | 2018 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Suspension rate for all students is in the Green.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All students will participate in rigorous, relevant and engaging instruction aligned to 21 st century skills to eliminate barriers and promote achievement.

## Goal 1

All students will participate in rigorous, relevant and engaging instruction aligned to 21 st century skills to eliminate barriers and promote achievement.

## Identified Need

We recognize that OYA needs to continue to build programs to drive improved and proportional outcomes for all students.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Renaissance STAR <br> Benchmark Exam in Math and <br> Reading | Students assessed at 6th <br> grade levels in Math and <br> Reading will demonstrate one <br> or more years of growth as <br> measured by Renaissance <br> STAR test given every three <br> months. | Students will demonstrate one <br> or more years of growth in <br> reading and math |
| CAASPP in Math and ELA | Students with disabilities will <br> demonstrate 95\% participation <br> in CAASPP ELA and Math. | Students with disabilities will <br> increase participation in <br> CAASPP Math and ELA by <br> 50\% |
| High School Graduation Rate | One Year Graduation Rate - <br> 75\% of Seniors will graduate | The graduation rate will <br> improve by 10\% |
|  | Irom OYA with a high school <br> diploma |  |
| English Language Learners | EL progress toward English <br> Proficiency will be evidenced <br> by scores increasing on the <br> English Language Proficiency | EL students will show an <br> increase in scores on ELPAC, <br> EL students who qualify will be <br> Redesignated Fluent English |
|  | Assessments for California <br> (ELPAC). | Proficient (RFEP) |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> English Learners

## Strategy/Activity

Review of current English Language Development and English Learner curriculum, supports and strategies which support English Learner students in English language acquisition. Provide supplemental curriculum for English Language Learners; professional development and training for staff on how to best support English Language Learners.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
8,489

1,950

Source(s)
Title III
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Partnership with ConnectED National Center for College and Career. Establish a Linked Learning Program, align curriculum with college and career pathways, internships, and staff training.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
58,774.89

Source(s)
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## Students with Special Needs

Strategy/Activity
Support students with special needs .through professional development in the areas of blended learning strategies, Universal Design for Learning, differentiated instruction, and collaborative planning and problem solving.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
10,000

Source(s)
Title IV
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Actions and strategies for Goal One for the 2019-20 SPSA were implemented fully.
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Actions and strategies for Goal One were implemented as planned
Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
No major changes are evident between in the 2019-20 revised SPSA and the 2020-21 SPSA for Goal One

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

## LEA/LCAP Goal

All student will leave prepared for a successful transition to college and/or career as a result of quality programs, services and curriculum.

## Goal 2

All student will leave prepared for a successful transition to college and/or career as a result of quality programs, services and curriculum.

## Identified Need

OYA understands that students earning a high school diploma is not the finish line. It is important that students are ultimately prepared to be productive to their communities and to sufficiently earn a wage that could support a family in today's society. As such, it is vital that students have a plan and that we provide them the tools and resources to move towards postsecondary education, as well as, the skills necessary to meet the demands of our future workforce.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | All Students will complete an <br> inventory designed to help <br> identify strengths | All 9th and 12th grade students <br> will complete a <br> StrengthsExplore assessment. |  |
| Post-Secondary Education <br> Plan | All Students will create a post- <br> secondary plan prior to <br> graduating from OYA. | All 12th grade students will <br> complete a transition plan to <br> college or career pathways. |  |
| Success Assessment | All students will complete |  |  |
| online Success Assessment | 80\% of students will complete <br> online Success Assessment |  |  |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> All Students <br> Strategy/Activity

Hire a College Liaison to support students transiting to post-secondary.

College Liaison will support students create post-secondary transition plans, arrange college site visits, create access to apprenticeship and internship opportunities, and provide guidance to students in college and career exploration activities.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## Amount(s)

95,716

## Source(s)

Title I Part A: Allocation
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries 3000-3999: Employee Benefits

## Strategy/Activity 2

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

 (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Purchase student Chromebooks and Kajeet hot spots. Technology equipment will provide students access to digital resources; to enhance instruction; to support distance learning during Covid-19

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
60,126

Source(s)
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

## Strategy/Activity 3

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide a Job Training Internship Program
Year Up - Career Labs is a 40-hour career prep course that is designed for youth and young adults to master key employability skills such as communication, team work, problem-solving, time management, and career readiness (resumes, interview skills, networking).

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
107,774.89

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Allocation
5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Actions and strategies for Goal Two for the 2019-20 SPSA were implemented fully.
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
Actions and strategies for Goal Two were implemented as planned.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No major changes are evident between the 2019-20 revised SPSA and the 2020-21 SPSA for Goal Three

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## Goal Subject

## LEA/LCAP Goal

In partnership with community organizations and family members, achievement levels will increase by engaging students in a rigorous curriculum in an environment that is culturally responsive and safe.

## Goal 3

In partnership with community organizations and family members, achievement levels will increase by engaging students in a rigorous curriculum in an environment that is culturally responsive and safe.

## Identified Need

The OYA community, including staff, students and parents, have identified community outreach and support for student work production as two main areas to be addressed that will increase student achievement levels and create a more culturally responsive school program.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student Survey | $100 \%$ of OYA students will take <br> the Student Survey | $100 \%$ of OYA students will take <br> the Student Survey |
| Parent engagement | $5-10$ parents at meetings and <br> Family Information Nights. | Increase numbers by 5 |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Provide mental health services to all students.
A mental health therapist will be available to provide individual and/or small group therapy. The mental health therapist will also provide staff professional development.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
75,000

17,654

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Allocation 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

Title IV
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

## Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
All Students

## Strategy/Activity

Improve community outreach and recruitment, including Family Nights and Community Engagement events at all sites.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
4,117

Source(s)
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Actions and strategies for Goal Three for the 2019-20 SPSA were implemented fully.
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Actions and strategies for Goal Three were implemented as planned.
Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
No major changes are evident between the 2019-20 revised SPSA and the 2020-21 SPSA for Goal Three

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description <br> Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application <br> Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI <br> Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA <br> Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

## Amount

```
$303,586
```

\$129,545
\$439,601.78

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

| Federal Programs | Allocation (\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) | $\$ 120,850.89$ |
| Title I Part A: Allocation | $\$ 278,490.89$ |
| Title I Part A: Parent Involvement | $\$ 4,117.00$ |
| Title III | $\$ 8,489.00$ |
| Title IV | $\$ 27,654.00$ |

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$439,601.78
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

## Allocation (\$)

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$439,601.78

## Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source<br>Expenditures by Funding Source

Amount
Balance

Funding Source
Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)
Title I Part A: Allocation
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement
Title III
Title IV

| Amount |
| :---: |
| $120,850.89$ |
| $278,490.89$ |
| $4,117.00$ |
| $8,489.00$ |
| $27,654.00$ |

## Expenditures by Budget Reference

| Budget Reference |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating |
| Expenditures |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating |
| Expenditures |


| Amount |
| :---: |
| $95,716.00$ |
| $60,126.00$ |
| $96,771.00$ |
| $186,988.78$ |

## Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

| Budget Reference | Funding Source | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) | 60,126.00 |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures | Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) | 60,724.89 |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | Title I Part A: Allocation | 95,716.00 |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures | Title I Part A: Allocation | 75,000.00 |

5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures

| 5800: Professional/Consulting |
| :--- |
| Services And Operating Expenditures |
| 5000-5999: Services And Other |
| Operating Expenditures |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting |
| Services And Operating Expenditures |


| Title I Part A: Allocation |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Title I Part A: Parent Involvement |  |
| Title III |  |
| Title IV | $4,117.00$ |
| Title IV | $8,489.00$ |
|  |  |

## Expenditures by Goal

## Goal Number

| Goal 1 |
| :---: |
| Goal 2 |
| Goal 3 |

## Total Expenditures

| $79,213.89$ |
| :---: |
| $263,616.89$ |
| $96,771.00$ |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

2 Classroom Teachers
3 Other School Staff
2 Parent or Community Members
2 Secondary Students

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phil Morales | Principal |
| Christina Ramos | Other School Staff |
| Lupe Rivas | Other School Staff |
| Leticia Alamguer | Other School Staff |
| Kevin Jacks | Classroom Teacher |
| Louis Smith | Classroom Teacher |
| Jesus Salazar | Secondary Student |
| Leticia Salazar | Parent or Community Member |
| Amy Elliott | Secondary Student |
| Bruce Elliott |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name
English Learner Advisory Committee
The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 6/2/20.
Attested:


Principal, Phil Morales on 6/2/20

SSC Chairperson, Christian Ramos on 6/2/20

