Santa Clara County Office of Education
Jon R. Gundry
County Superintendent of Schools

## September 9, 2015

TO: Jon R. Gundry, County Superintendent of Schools

FROM: Dan Mason, Research Analyst, Assessment \& Accountability Michael Bachicha, Ed.D., Interim Director, Assessment \& Accountability Angelica Ramsey, Ed.D., Associate Superintendent

SUBJECT: 2014-15 Santa Clara County California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Results

The 2014-15 school year marked the beginning of California's new statewide student assessment system - California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) which replaced the previous Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) system. The CAASPP system consists of:

- Smarter Balanced assessments, which incorporate:
- Summative Assessments in grades 3 through 8 and 11 for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics,
- Interim Assessments for all grades in ELA and mathematics, and
- the Digital Library, which is a repository of tools and practices designed to help teachers utilize formative assessment processes for improved teaching and learning in all grades.
- California Alternative Assessments (CAA) in ELA and mathematics for students with significant cognitive abilities in grades 3 through 8 and 11.
- Science assessments in grades 5, 8, and 10 (i.e., CST, California Modified Assessment [CMA], and California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA]).
- Standards-based Tests in Spanish (STS) for reading/language arts in grades 2 through 11 (optional).

In the spring of 2014, rather than continue with the CST tests in ELA and mathematics, California implemented a mandatory statewide field test of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments. The spring of 2015 marked the first operational testing of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments. The Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments were the primary focal point of CAASPP's first year of implementation and are the lone focal point of this analysis.

The new Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments are very different from the old STAR tests in several ways:

- They are aligned with California's new content standards for ELA and mathematics.
- They reflect the critical thinking and problem solving skills that students will need to be ready for college and the 21st century job market.
- They are taken on a computer and are adaptive, which means that during the test, the questions become more or less difficult on the basis of how the student performs.
- They provide many more supports for students who need them, including students learning English and students with disabilities.
- The Smarter Balanced assessment system includes a variety of item types, including:
- Selected-response items, which prompt students to choose one or more answers.
- Technology-enhanced items, which might prompt students to edit text or draw an object.
- Constructed-response items, which prompt students to write a short written or numerical response.
- Performance tasks, in which students engage in a complex set of tasks to demonstrate their understanding. (Students may be asked to conduct research and then write an argumentative essay, using sources as evidence. Or they may be asked to solve a complex problem in mathematics. Performance tasks integrate knowledge and skills across many areas and standards.

For each grade level and subject area, students receive a score from approximately 2000 to 3000. The overall score falls into one of four achievement levels:

- Standard Exceeded: The student has exceeded the achievement standard and demonstrates advanced progress toward mastery of the knowledge and skills needed for likely success in future coursework.
- Standard Met: The student has met the achievement standard and demonstrates progress toward mastery of the knowledge and skills needed for likely success in future coursework.
- Standard Nearly Met: The student has nearly met the achievement standard and may require further development to demonstrate the knowledge and skills needed for likely success in future coursework.
- Standard Not Met: The student has not met the achievement standard and needs substantial improvement to demonstrate the knowledge and skills needed for likely success in future coursework.

The test reports show how a student performed in key claims, also called areas, in ELA and mathematics.

- ELA Claims: Reading, Writing, Listening, and Research/Inquiry
- Mathematics Claims: Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis, Concepts \& Procedures, and Communicating Reasoning

For each claim, a student's performance is represented as "Above Standard," "At or Near Standard," or "Below Standard."

Unlike the CSTs, the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments are based on a growth model that next year onward, will allow the California Department of Education (CDE) to produce growth scores that we can track students' progress through the grade levels.

The results of the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments should be thought of as baseline data for the CAASPP system moving forward and should under no circumstance be compared to the CST results of the outmoded STAR system. The new assessments are far too different from the old assessments (e.g., the standards being measured, the adaptive nature of the new assessments, the types of test items in the assessments, the types of critical thinking that students are asked to demonstrate, the growth model of the new assessments) to make any valid comparisons.

The following is a summary of the CAASPP summative assessment results for Santa Clara County and California.

## Key Findings

For the ELA assessments:

- $58 \%$ of Santa Clara County students reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded achievement levels ( $29 \%$ reached Standard Met and 29\% reached Standard Exceeded) compared to $44 \%$ students statewide ( $28 \%$ reached Standard Met and 16\% reached Standard Exceeded). See Figure 1
For the mathematics assessments:
- $52 \%$ of Santa Clara County students reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded achievement levels ( $21 \%$ reached Standard Met and 31\% reached Standard Exceeded) compared to $33 \%$ students statewide (19\% reached Standard Met and $14 \%$ reached Standard Exceeded). See Figure 2.

With the exception of the Filipino subgroup, Santa Clara County subgroups reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded achievement levels at higher rates than their statewide counterparts on both the ELA and mathematics assessments. See Figure 3 and Figure 4.

Within Santa Clara County there is a substantial achievement gap between Hispanic/Latino students and white and Asian students:

- For ELA, there is a 49 percentage point difference between the percent of Hispanic/Latino and Asian students that reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded achievement levels ( $33 \%$ vs. $82 \%$, respectively). See Figure 3
- The gap is even larger in math, where there is a 57 percentage point difference ( $23 \% \mathrm{vs}$. $80 \%$, respectively). See Figure 4.

Santa Clara County grade levels reached the Standard Met or Standard Exceeded achievement levels at higher rates than their statewide counterparts on the ELA and mathematics assessments:

- On the ELA assessments, the rates of Santa Clara County students reaching Standard Met or Standard Exceeded ranged from 53\% (grade 3) to 66\% (grade 11). See Figure 5
- On the mathematics assessments, the low and high performing grades for Santa Clara County students were the reverse ( $48 \%$ of grade 11 reached Standard Met or Standard Exceeded and 57\% of grade 3). See Figure 6.

Within Santa Clara County, for the ELA and mathematics assessments:

- Hispanic/Latino students had the highest rates of Standard Not Met among the racial/ethnic subgroups ( $39 \%$ on ELA and $46 \%$ on mathematics) See Figure 7
- Students with Disability had the highest rate of Standard Not Met among the other subgroups ( $62 \%$ on ELA and $65 \%$ on mathematics). See Figure 8.

Within Santa Clara County:

- For the ELA assessments, Grade 4 had the highest rate of Standard Not Met (27\%) See Figure 9
- Grade 11 had the highest rate for the mathematics assessments (32\%). See Figure 10.

For the ELA claims (areas):

- $16 \%$ of Santa Clara County students were Below Standard on the Research/Inquiry and the Listening claims compared to
- $22 \%$ on the Writing claim and
- $26 \%$ on the Reading claim.
- See Table 5 and Table 7.

For the mathematics claims (areas):

- $22 \%$ were Below Standard on the Communicating Reasoning claim compared to
- $25 \%$ on the Problem Solving/Modeling and Data Analysis claim and
- $32 \%$ on the Concepts and Procedures claim.
- See Table 6 and Table 8.

Of Santa Clara County students, Hispanic/Latino students made up the largest racial/ethnic subgroup portion of the students tested (37\%), followed by Asian students ( $28 \%$ ) and white students (21\%). See Table 9.

Jon R. Gundry, County Superintendent of Schools
September 9, 2015
Page 5

Figure 1: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Achievement Level Distributions, Santa Clara County vs. California


Figure 2: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Overall Results, Achievement Level Distributions, Santa Clara County vs. California


Jon R. Gundry, County Superintendent of Schools
September 9, 2015
Page 6

Figure 3: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Percent of Subgroups at Standard Met or Standard Exceeded, Santa Clara County vs. California


Table 1: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy, Santa Clara County Students Tested by Subgroup, with Mean Scale Scores

| Subgroup | \# of Students <br> Tested | \# of Students <br> with Scores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| African American | 3,065 | 3,064 |
| Asian | 40,940 | 40,933 |
| Filipino | 8,045 | 8,044 |
| Hispanic | 53,735 | 53,712 |
| White | 29,955 | 29,947 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 54,306 | 54,283 |
| English Learners | 27,439 | 27,421 |
| Students with Disability | 13,010 | 13,000 |
| All | 141,828 | 141,785 |
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Figure 4: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Overall Results, Percent of Subgroups at Standard Met or Standard Exceeded, Santa Clara County vs. California


Table 2: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics, Santa Clara County Students Tested by Subgroup, with Mean Scale Scores

| Subgroup | \# of Students <br> Tested | \# of Students <br> with Scores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| African American | 3,083 | 3,080 |
| Asian | 41,340 | 41,336 |
| Filipino | 8,064 | 8,061 |
| Hispanic | 53,985 | 53,961 |
| White | 30,134 | 30,123 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 54,631 | 54,604 |
| English Learners | 28,116 | 28,101 |
| Students with Disability | 12,997 | 12,988 |
| All | 142,841 | 142,794 |
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Figure 5: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Percent of Grade Level at Standard Met or Standard Exceeded, Santa Clara County vs. California


Table 3: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy, Santa Clara County Students Tested by Grade Level, with Mean Scale Scores

|  | \# of <br> Students <br> Enrolled | \# of <br> Students <br> Tested | \% of <br> Enrolled <br> Students <br> Tested | \# of <br> Students <br> with Scores | Mean Scale <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 21,838 | 21,368 | $97.8 \%$ | 21,363 | 2435.2 |
| Grade 4 | 21,596 | 21,187 | $98.1 \%$ | 21,184 | 2482.1 |
| Grade 5 | 21,671 | 21,056 | $97.2 \%$ | 21,054 | 2525.0 |
| Grade 6 | 21,300 | 20,885 | $98.1 \%$ | 20,877 | 2544.0 |
| Grade 7 | 20,726 | 20,186 | $97.4 \%$ | 20,179 | 2567.4 |
| Grade 8 | 20,669 | 19,833 | $96.0 \%$ | 19,832 | 2586.6 |
| Grade 11 | 18,652 | 17,313 | $92.8 \%$ | 17,296 | 2624.9 |
| All | 146,452 | 141,828 | $96.8 \%$ | 141,785 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
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Figure 6: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Overall Results, Percent of Grade Level at Standard Met or Standard Exceeded, Santa Clara County vs. California


Table 4: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics, Santa Clara County Students Tested by Grade Level, with Mean Scale Scores

|  | \# of <br> Students <br> Enrolled | \# of <br> Students <br> Tested | \% of <br> Enrolled <br> Students <br> Tested | \# of <br> Students <br> with Scores | Mean Scale <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 21,838 | 21,644 | $99.1 \%$ | 21,636 | 2449.3 |
| Grade 4 | 21,596 | 21,419 | $99.2 \%$ | 21,417 | 2491.5 |
| Grade 5 | 21,671 | 21,233 | $98.0 \%$ | 21,229 | 2522.7 |
| Grade 6 | 21,300 | 21,047 | $98.8 \%$ | 21,042 | 2547.3 |
| Grade 7 | 20,726 | 20,391 | $98.4 \%$ | 20,385 | 2571.1 |
| Grade 8 | 20,669 | 19,930 | $96.4 \%$ | 19,916 | 2585.3 |
| Grade 11 | 18,652 | 17,177 | $92.1 \%$ | 17,169 | 2615.3 |
| All | 146,452 | 142,841 | $97.5 \%$ | 142,794 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
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Figure 7: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Percent of Santa Clara County Subgroups at each Achievement Level
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Figure 8: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Overall Results, Percent of Santa Clara County Subgroups at each Achievement Level


Jon R. Gundry, County Superintendent of Schools
September 9, 2015
Page 12

Figure 9: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Percent of Santa Clara County Grade Levels at each Achievement Level
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Figure 10: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Results, Percent of Santa Clara County Grade Levels at each Achievement Level
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Table 5: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Claims (Areas), Santa Clara County Performance by Sub Groups

|  | Afr. <br> Am. | Asian | Filipino | Hispanic | White | ED | EL | SWD | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading: Demonstrating Understanding of Literacy and Non-Fictional Texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $18 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $37 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Writing: Producing Clear and Purposeful Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $20 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $46 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $33 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Listening: Demonstrating Effective Communication Skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $13 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $63 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $23 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Research/Inquiry: Investigating, Analyzing, and Presenting Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $21 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $54 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $24 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Table 6: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Claims (Areas), Santa Clara County Performance by Sub Groups

|  | Afr. <br> Am. | Asian | Filipino | Hispanic | White | ED | EL | SWD | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concepts and Procedures: Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $16 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $32 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $51 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Problem Solving/Modeling and Data Analysis: Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Above Standard | $13 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At or Near Standard | $46 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $41 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Communicating Reasoning: Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions

| Above Standard | $13 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At or Near Standard | $51 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $35 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
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Table 7: 2015 CAASPP English Language Arts/Literacy Claims (Areas), Santa Clara County Performance by Grades

|  | Grade <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{7}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading: Demonstrating Understanding of Literacy and Non-Fictional Texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Writing: Producing Clear and Purposeful Writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Listening: Demonstrating Effective Communication Skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Research/Inquiry: Investigating, Analyzing, and Presenting Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

Table 8: 2015 CAASPP Mathematics Claims (Areas), Santa Clara County Performance by Grades

|  | Grade <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{7}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 1}$ | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concepts and Procedures: Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $41 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $32 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $27 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Problem Solving/Modeling and Data Analysis: Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve <br> real world and mathematical problems <br> Above Standard $3^{36 \%}$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |
| At or Near Standard | $40 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Communicating Reasoning: Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above Standard | $36 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| At or Near Standard | $45 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Below Standard | $19 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
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Table 9: 2015 CAASPP Testing, Percent by Subgroup

| Subgroup | \% of Students <br> Tested |
| :--- | :---: |
| African American | $2 \%$ |
| Asian | $28 \%$ |
| Filipino | $5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $37 \%$ |
| White | $21 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $38 \%$ |
| English Learners | $19 \%$ |
| Students with Disability | $9 \%$ |

